impractical and unreasonably difficult.

3. The communication breakdown between attorney and client underscores the

28

1		impossibility of effective representation under current conditions.
2	4.	A breakdown in communication and trust between attorney and client constitutes good
3		cause for withdrawal, particularly where withdrawal will not prejudice other parties or
4		delay proceedings.
5	5.	Plaintiff I.C.'s failure to communicate with and provide necessary information to our
6		firm has presented a circumstance covered by Rule 1.16(b)(4) of the California Rules of
7		Professional Conduct. We are unable to meet discovery deadlines and otherwise
8		prosecute her case without her input. Our withdrawal from the case has become
9		necessary.
10	6.	Under the California Rules of Professional Conduct 1.16(d), my firm has taken all
11		reasonably available steps to avoid prejudice to the rights of Plaintiff I.C.
12	7.	Our withdrawal from this case will not impact the timing or schedule of this litigation,
13		and we have taken all reasonable steps possible to avoid prejudice to Plaintiff by
14		informing her of her options and the consequences of failing to comply with case
15		deadlines.
16	8.	I understand that pursuant to Local Rule 11-5(b), leave to withdraw may be conditioned
17		on our firm continuing to accept papers to forward to the client. We are able to accept
18		this responsibility.
19	Ex	ecuted this 25 th day of November, 2025 in San Francisco, California.
20		<u>/s/ Rachel B. Abrams</u> Rachel B. Abrams
21		Rachel B. Abrams
22		Counsel for Plaintiff
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		